Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/123,253	HUTCHENS ET AL.	HUTCHENS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lyle A Alexander	1743		

Interview Summary	09/125,255	HUTCHENS ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lyle A Alexander	1743		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Lyle A Alexander</u> .	(3) Mr. Storella (in person).	MY .		
(2) Mr. Kusha(on the telephone).	(4)	U . O		
Date of Interview: 23 November 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	?)☐ applicant's representative	· .		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: all.				
Identification of prior art discussed: all.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)	o⊠ was not reached. h) N	/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' argued the cite references are not directed to the claimed laser desorption in the comments.</u>	ed prior art is non-analogoups	if an agreement was art because these		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendr allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no co allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	IDV Of the amendments that w	eed would render the claims ould render the claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the I GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR T FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT O Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse sid	last Office action has already I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS		
	•	·		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exambiner's signat	Serviced		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20041123